

Theoretical Foundations for Improving the Linguistic and Methodological Training of Pre-Service English Language Teachers

Saida Nishonbekova

PhD, Uzbekistan State World Languages University, Uzbekistan

Janar Eskazinova

PhD, Karaganda University, Uzbekistan

Received: 08 February 2026; **Accepted:** 06 March 2026; **Published:** 25 March 2026

Abstract: The quality of pre-service English language teacher education depends largely on how effectively linguistic competence and methodological competence are developed in an integrated manner. This article examines the theoretical foundations for improving the linguistic and methodological training of pre-service English language teachers. Drawing on the scholarship of Shulman, Freeman, Borg, Johnson, Burns and Richards, Farrell, and Darling-Hammond, the paper argues that teacher preparation should move beyond the traditional separation of language knowledge and teaching methodology. Instead, it should be grounded in an integrated, reflective, practice-oriented, and context-sensitive model of teacher education. The discussion highlights pedagogical content knowledge, teacher cognition, sociocultural learning, reflective practice, and practicum-based professional development as key theoretical pillars of effective pre-service preparation. The article concludes that the improvement of pre-service English language teacher education requires a coherent framework in which knowledge about language, knowledge about teaching, school-based experience, and reflective inquiry are systematically connected.

Keywords: Pre-service English language teachers, linguistic training, methodological training, teacher education, pedagogical content knowledge, teacher cognition, reflective practice, practicum, professional competence.

Introduction: In contemporary education, the preparation of competent English language teachers has become a strategic priority. English is widely viewed as a key language for participation in the global economy, access to knowledge, and international communication, which has increased pressure on teacher education systems to prepare more effective English language teachers. As Burns and Richards [3] note, second language teacher education has been shaped both by internal professional debates and by external pressures such as globalization, accountability, and changing expectations for teacher quality. In this context, improving the quality of pre-service English language teacher education is not simply a curricular

issue; it is a theoretical and professional challenge that requires a coherent understanding of what future teachers need to know and be able to do.

Traditionally, teacher education programs have often treated linguistic training and methodological training as separate domains. Student teachers may study grammar, phonetics, discourse, and language skills in one part of the curriculum, while learning teaching methods, lesson planning, and assessment in another. However, this division does not adequately reflect the realities of teaching. Effective English language teaching requires the ability to transform language knowledge into teachable content, adapt it to learners' needs, and make pedagogical decisions in real classroom situations.

Therefore, the improvement of pre-service teacher education must be based on a theoretical framework that integrates linguistic and methodological preparation rather than isolating them.

The aim of this article is to analyze the theoretical foundations for improving the linguistic and methodological training of pre-service English language teachers. The paper addresses this aim by reviewing major perspectives in teacher education and second language teacher education, and by identifying the principles that can support a more integrated and effective model of pre-service preparation.

METHODOLOGY

This article is a theoretical and conceptual study based on qualitative analysis of key works in teacher education and second language teacher education. The methods used include literature review, comparative analysis, synthesis, and conceptual generalization. The selected sources were chosen because they represent influential perspectives on teacher knowledge, teacher learning, reflective practice, professional development, and the practicum in language teacher education. Rather than presenting empirical data, the article systematizes major theoretical positions and uses them to formulate a conceptual understanding of how linguistic and methodological training can be improved in pre-service English language teacher education.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND DISCUSSION

A first important theoretical foundation is Shulman's concept of teacher knowledge. Shulman [10] challenged views of teaching that focused only on generic pedagogy or only on subject knowledge and instead emphasized the transformation of subject matter for teaching and learning. His work is especially valuable for English language teacher education because it suggests that knowing English is not sufficient; future teachers must also know how to represent, explain, adapt, and teach that knowledge to learners. From this perspective, the improvement of pre-service teacher education requires a meaningful connection between knowledge of language and knowledge of pedagogy.

In second language teacher education, this issue becomes even more explicit in the discussion of knowledge about language. Bartels [1] notes that second language teacher education has long assumed that programs should provide teachers with knowledge

about language and language learning, usually through applied linguistics and SLA courses, yet research has repeatedly shown that teachers often struggle to use such academic knowledge in actual teaching. This observation is crucial for pre-service English language teacher education: linguistic knowledge should not remain abstract. It must be pedagogically mediated so that student teachers learn not only the structure of language but also how to turn that knowledge into classroom practice.

A second major foundation is the study of teacher cognition. Borg [2] defines teacher cognition as what language teachers think, know, and believe, and how these mental constructs relate to classroom practice. Freeman [6] similarly argues that the "hidden side" of teaching lies in teachers' mental lives, especially in how teacher learning and teacher knowledge are conceptualized. These views show that methodological training cannot be reduced to transmitting a set of ready-made techniques. Future English teachers interpret methods through prior learning experiences, beliefs about language, and evolving professional understandings. Therefore, improving methodological training means engaging student teachers in critical reflection, conceptual understanding, and decision-making, not only in procedural imitation.

A third theoretical pillar is the sociocultural perspective on teacher learning. Johnson [7] argues that a sociocultural view changes how the field understands how teachers learn to teach, how they think about language, how they teach second languages, and what counts as professional development. From this perspective, teacher learning is mediated by dialogue, social interaction, tools, institutional context, and participation in professional activity. This is highly relevant for pre-service English language teacher education because it means linguistic and methodological training should not be organized as isolated academic subjects alone. They should be developed through collaborative tasks, guided interaction, mentoring, school experience, and participation in authentic teaching practices.

A fourth foundation is reflective practice. Farrell's [5] work shows that reflective practice has become a significant component of both pre-service and in-service language teacher education, even though the concept is sometimes used too loosely. He argues for a

clearer and more holistic framework for reflection in language teaching. Richards and Farrell [5] likewise present a range of professional development tools such as self-monitoring, journal writing, classroom observation, teaching portfolios, peer coaching, and action research. These perspectives suggest that the improvement of pre-service teacher education depends on systematic opportunities for reflection. Student teachers need structured ways to analyze their own language use, lesson choices, classroom interaction, and pedagogical growth. Reflection is the process that helps connect linguistic awareness with methodological action.

A fifth essential foundation is the practicum. Gebhard [7] describes the practicum as an important part of English language teacher education that usually includes supervised teaching, systematic observation, and familiarity with a particular teaching context. The practicum is where theoretical knowledge is tested, reshaped, and professionalized. Without this component, pre-service preparation risks remaining too abstract. For this reason, improving the linguistic and methodological training of future English teachers requires strong school-based experience in which language knowledge, methodological principles, classroom management, and learner response are brought together in authentic practice.

The broader field of teacher education also supports the need for coherence. Darling-Hammond's [4] comparative work on teacher education systems emphasizes that teacher preparation and development are key building blocks in developing effective teachers. Her discussion of high-performing systems suggests that quality teacher education is not the result of disconnected courses but of coherent recruitment, preparation, induction, and professional support. Applied to pre-service English language teacher education, this means that linguistic and methodological components should be deliberately aligned across coursework, practicum, mentoring, and assessment. Fragmented curricula are unlikely to produce teachers who can integrate language expertise with pedagogical competence.

Taken together, these theoretical perspectives support an integrated model of pre-service English language teacher education. Such a model should include, first, strong linguistic preparation linked to pedagogical

application; second, methodological preparation grounded in teacher cognition and professional reasoning; third, sociocultural opportunities for collaborative learning and guided participation; fourth, reflective practice as a core mechanism of development; and fifth, a practicum that connects theory with classroom realities. Improvement, therefore, should be understood not as adding more content to the curriculum, but as reorganizing teacher education around the meaningful interaction of language knowledge, pedagogical knowledge, practice, and reflection.

CONCLUSION

The theoretical analysis demonstrates that the improvement of the linguistic and methodological training of pre-service English language teachers requires an integrated and conceptually coherent framework. The reviewed literature shows that effective teacher preparation is built on the relationship between knowledge about language and knowledge about teaching, on attention to teacher cognition and learning, on sociocultural mediation, on reflective practice, and on school-based practicum experience. Pre-service teacher education should therefore move beyond the traditional separation of linguistic and methodological components and instead create a system in which these dimensions are developed in continuous interaction. Such an approach can strengthen professional competence, improve readiness for classroom practice, and better prepare future English language teachers for the complex demands of contemporary education.

REFERENCES

1. Bartels, N. (2009). Knowledge about language. In A. Burns & J. C. Richards (Eds.), *The Cambridge guide to second language teacher education*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Borg, S. (2003). Teacher cognition in language teaching: A review of research on what language teachers think, know, believe, and do. *Language Teaching*, 36(2), 81–109.
3. Burns, A., & Richards, J. C. (2009). Introduction: Second language teacher education. In A. Burns & J. C. Richards (Eds.), *The Cambridge guide to second language teacher education* (pp. 1–8). Cambridge University Press.

4. Darling-Hammond, L. (2017). Teacher education around the world: What can we learn from international practice? *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 40(3), 291–309.
5. Farrell, T. S. C. (2022). *Reflective practice in language teaching*. Cambridge University Press.
6. Freeman, D. (2002). The hidden side of the work: Teacher knowledge and learning to teach. A perspective from North American educational research on teacher education in English language teaching. *Language Teaching*, 35(1), 1–13.
7. Gebhard, J. G. (2009). The practicum. In A. Burns & J. C. Richards (Eds.), *The Cambridge guide to second language teacher education*. Cambridge University Press.
8. Johnson, K. E. (2009). *Second language teacher education: A sociocultural perspective*. Routledge.
9. Richards, J. C., & Farrell, T. S. C. (2005). *Professional development for language teachers: Strategies for teacher learning*. Cambridge University Press.
10. Shulman, L. S. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: Foundations of the new reform. *Harvard Educational Review*, 57(1), 1–22.