The OSCAR PUBLISHING Services
International Journal of Pedagogics

VOLUME Vol.05 Issue09 2025
PAGE NO. 46-50
DOI 10.37547/ijp/Volume05Issue09-11

Psycholinguistic Characteristics Of Alalia Disorder And Its Impact On Communication Skills

Latibjonova Ziyoda

Lecturer at the Department of Pedagogy and Psychology at Namangan Branch of Tashkent International University of Kimyo, Uzbekistan

Received: 19 July 2025; **Accepted:** 15 August 2025; **Published:** 17 September 2025

Abstract: Alalia is traditionally defined in the Eastern European logopedic literature as a severe underdevelopment of speech arising from early organic lesions of cortical speech systems, and it is broadly differentiated into motor (expressive) and sensory (receptive) forms, with mixed variants frequently observed. Although international nosology increasingly uses categories such as developmental language disorder or childhood apraxia of speech, the construct of alalia remains clinically and pedagogically useful for understanding the multilayered psycholinguistic mechanisms that constrain language acquisition. This article synthesizes classic neuropsychological insights with contemporary psycholinguistic evidence to delineate the perceptual, cognitive, and motor substrates that shape the linguistic profile of children with alalia and to explain how these substrates impair face-to-face interaction, discourse organization, and the pragmatic regulation of conversation. A narrative review approach is adopted to integrate findings on phonemic perception, temporal auditory processing, phonological working memory, articulatory praxis, lexical access, morphosyntactic planning, prosody, and pragmatic inferencing. The analysis shows that children with motor alalia tend to exhibit deficits in articulatory programming and sequential speech organization against a background of relatively better auditory discrimination, whereas children with sensory alalia show pronounced phonemic hearing and lexical-semantic mapping difficulties with comparatively fluent but semantically unstable output; in practice, overlapping symptom complexes are common.

Keywords: Alalia; motor alalia; sensory alalia; psycholinguistics of language development; phonological working memory; articulatory praxis; pragmatic competence; discourse.

Introduction: In the classical Russian neuropsychological and logopedic tradition, alalia denotes a profound underdevelopment of speech due to early organogenic involvement of cortical systems responsible for language processing. The concept is historically linked to the frameworks of L.S. Vygotsky and A.R. Luria, who emphasized the systemic organization of higher mental functions and the role of functional brain blocks in speech production and comprehension. Within this framework, motor alalia refers primarily to disorders of speech programming and articulation against a backdrop of relatively preserved comprehension, while sensory alalia captures the breakdown of phonemic hearing and auditory-verbal gnosis, generating unstable word recognition and semantic mapping. Although nosological boundaries have been reconsidered in the light of contemporary research on developmental language disorder, childhood apraxia of speech, and neuroconstructivist accounts of language learning, the term alalia remains clinically resonant in many educational and therapeutic settings. It affords a lens for examining how early neurofunctional constraints distort the multilevel architecture of language and how these distortions reverberate through real-time communication.

From a psycholinguistic perspective, language acquisition involves coordinated operations across perceptual analysis, phonological encoding, lexical access, grammatical planning, prosodic structuring, and motor execution, all embedded in a social-pragmatic matrix. When early lesions or developmental dysfunctions disrupt one or several nodes in this architecture, the resulting expressive and receptive

profiles are not merely delayed versions of typical development but reorganized systems with alternative processing strategies. In children with alalia, the distribution of difficulties across levels is striking. Sensory alalia foregrounds deficits in phonemic categorization, temporal resolution of speech sounds, and the stabilization of acoustic-phonological representations; this often yields fluent yet semantically "empty" speech with paraphasias and severe comprehension instability. Motor alalia foregrounds articulatory praxis, syllabification, and serial program construction, resulting in groping, inconsistent errors, and sharply reduced phrase construction, even when comprehension is significantly better. Mixed variants blur the neat dichotomy because reciprocal interactions between perception, memory, and motor planning shape development over time.

These level-specific constraints do not remain confined to laboratory tasks. They shape the child's everyday communication by weakening the ability to initiate, negotiate, and repair conversational turns, to maintain topics, to deploy deictic devices that anchor reference to context, and to craft narratives with macrostructural coherence and microstructural accuracy. Psycholinguistics thus provides not only a taxonomy of deficits but an explanatory bridge from neural-functional limitations to the social consequences seen in classrooms, clinics, and family interaction.

The aim of this article is to articulate an integrated psycholinguistic account of alalia that links core processing deficits with the observed linguistic profile and, crucially, with the child's communicative performance in naturalistic settings, in order to inform assessment priorities and intervention design.

A narrative review approach was used to synthesize classical and contemporary sources in neuropsychology, psycholinguistics, and speech-language pathology. Foundational theoretical works on higher mental functions and speech organization were considered alongside empirical studies on phonemic perception, auditory temporal processing, working memory, articulatory praxis, lexical access, morphosyntax, prosody, and pragmatic development. Comparative analyses with related conditions—developmental language disorder, childhood apraxia of speech, dysarthria, and autism spectrum disorder—were incorporated to delineate overlapping and distinctive mechanisms. Preference was given to sources that explicitly model level-specific processes or that report task designs capable of isolating perceptual, memory, or motor contributions to performance. The synthesis is conceptual and does not report new experimental data; rather, it consolidates converging evidence into an explanatory sequence from processing level to communicative outcome. The conclusions are intended to guide structured assessment and to motivate multi-level intervention plans.

A coherent picture of alalia emerges when linguistic performance is decomposed into psycholinguistic levels. At the perceptual interface, sensory alalia exhibits fragile phonemic hearing, diminished categorical perception of contrasts, and reduced temporal resolution of brief acoustic cues such as voice-onset-time and consonant transitions. These impairments prevent the consolidation of stable phonological representations, leading to lexical entries that are weakly specified or confusable. In conversation, the child may seem to recognize familiar words inconsistently and may rely on situational cues, prosodic contours, or routine scripts rather than lexical-semantic decoding. Extended discourse exposes the instability: misinterpretations accumulate, repairs proliferate, and the child's contributions become tangential or formulaic because comprehension never quite stabilizes on the intended message.

Within the memory system, many children with alalia display restricted phonological working memory, reflected in poor nonword repetition, shortened digit spans, and difficulties maintaining and manipulating phonological strings. This bottleneck amplifies perceptual fragility by hampering the rehearsal that normally strengthens representations, and it compromises morphosyntactic planning because phrases cannot be buffered long enough for accurate agreement marking or embedded clause construction. In real-time dialogue, the child's responses are truncated, with late or missing function words, simplified inflection, and avoidance of complex structures. Co-articulatory transitions are also compromised in motor alalia, where articulatory praxis is central. Here the child struggles with the serial organization of syllables and gestural transitions, producing inconsistent errors across repetitions and effortful, groping articulations. The signal to interlocutors is a speech stream that demands constant inferencing and contextual scaffolding, which in turn reshapes interactional norms in the child's social environment.

Lexical-semantic development in alalia is marked by slow vocabulary growth and unstable mapping between sound forms and meanings. In sensory profiles, the phonological form is the weak link; in motor profiles, lexical access may be delayed by programming demands and by reduced practice with fluent output. Either way, conversational exchange suffers because the economy of reference breaks

down: the child cannot easily select precise words, maintain contrast sets, or track anaphoric chains. Communicative partners receive semantically sparse messages that rely on shared situational frames, gestures, and pointed gaze for disambiguation. Over time, this can entrench narrow pragmatic repertoires, where the child excels in routine scripts but avoids novel topics or complex information packaging.

Morphosyntax reflects the interaction of these constraints. In motor alalia, inflectional morphology is often omitted, agreement is inconsistent, and the constituent order drifts toward telegraphic patterns, not because the child lacks grammatical knowledge in the abstract but because holding multiple morphemes and their phonological exponents in mind while programming articulatory sequences exceeds available resources. In sensory alalia, the mapping between inflectional markers and their meanings is undermined by unstable phonological decoding, leading to weak morphology–semantics links and to shallow parsing strategies. During conversation, this yields difficulties with deictic expressions—tense and aspect markers that position events in time—and with pronominal systems that demand tracking of discourse referents. The pragmatic ripple effects include frequent breakdowns in perspective-taking, difficulty maintaining topic continuity, and limited capacity to negotiate conversational repairs.

Prosody plays a dual role in alalia. On the one hand, prosodic contours can act as compensatory scaffolds: children often retain relatively intact global intonation patterns and use prosodic emphasis to convey intent when lexical-syntactic resources are insufficient. On the other hand, timing and stress control depend on motor planning and on the efficient integration of rhythmic cues with segmental sequences. In motor alalia, disrupted timing exaggerates coarticulatory instability and undermines the subtle prominence cues that guide listener expectations; in sensory alalia, prosodic cues are less reliable anchors when segmental decoding is uncertain. Interlocutors may perceive the child's prosody as either monotonous or overgeneralized, reducing the expressiveness that supports pragmatic nuance.

These psycholinguistic characteristics translate into persistent challenges in dialogic and discursive communication. Dialogically, children with alalia initiate less often, hesitate to assume the next turn, and abandon turns prematurely. Their repair strategies are narrow—repetition of the same form, rising intonation to convert statements into questions, or gaze shifts toward adults to invite rescue—rather than flexible procedures like rephrasing, describing, or metacommunicative negotiation. Discursively, narrative productions are short and poorly structured. Macrostructural components such as settings, initiating events, and resolutions are underspecified, while microstructural indices—lexical diversity, grammatical accuracy, cohesive devices—remain below age expectations. Cohesion suffers because reference is unstable; the child either repeats full noun phrases to avoid pronominal ambiguity or uses pronouns without clear antecedents, forcing listeners to reconstruct intent from context.

The communication environment inevitably adapts. Caregivers and teachers simplify input, rely more on gestures and routines, and pre-empt breakdowns by anticipating needs. While such accommodation protects participation, it can inadvertently limit exposure to complex syntax, varied vocabulary, and challenging pragmatic scenarios. In educational contexts, participation in group work is constrained by limited turn management and by the cognitive cost of processing multiparty speech, particularly when background noise masks acoustic cues. The child's social identity may become that of the quiet observer or the one who needs adult mediation, with downstream effects on motivation and self-efficacy.

A psycholinguistically informed assessment must therefore triangulate across levels. Auditory-phonemic discrimination tasks and temporal processing probes reveal sensory vulnerabilities; nonword repetition and rapid naming index phonological memory and access; praxis tasks and syllable sequencing expose motor planning; lexical comprehension and retrieval measures map the semantic system; morphosyntax tasks with controlled complexity profile grammatical planning; prosodic perception and production tasks capture rhythm and intonation; pragmatics is sampled through structured conversation, narrative elicitation, and observation in natural settings. Interpreted together, these data justify individualized hypotheses about which processing bottlenecks principally drive the child's difficulties and how those bottlenecks propagate into communicative behavior.

Intervention design follows the same logic. For sensory-dominant profiles, therapy stabilizes phonemic categories, strengthens acoustic-phonological representations through high-variability input and minimal pair contrasts, and anchors new words in enriched semantic networks to counteract fragile form–meaning links. For motor-dominant profiles, treatment targets articulatory planning with graded syllable structures, rhythmic cueing, and distributed practice to consolidate serial programs; simultaneous work on morphosyntax focuses on short but complete utterances that exercise agreement and inflection within the child's motor capacity. Across profiles,

prosody-focused cueing can improve intelligibility and sentence modality marking, while explicit discourse scaffolds—story grammar frames, visual organizers, and staged rehearsal—promote narrative structure. Parent-mediated strategies generalize gains to daily routines, and augmentative and alternative communication provides a stable platform for expression and participation without suppressing speech: far from replacing speech, AAC often reduces cognitive load, allowing linguistic learning to proceed on firmer ground.

Cross-linguistic considerations enrich this picture. In languages with rich agglutinative morphology, the density of inflectional information within the word magnifies the processing burden at both the phonological and motor levels. Children with alalia may default to bare stems or to formulaic suffixes that fail to encode distinctions required by the grammar; comprehension likewise falters when morphological markers carry crucial discourse cues. Therapy in such contexts benefits from morpheme-by-morpheme transparency, consistent visual coding of grammatical functions, and rhythmically salient practice that links morphological units to prosodic beats, thereby recruiting timing as a compensatory scaffold.

Finally, the social-pragmatic dimension must not be treated as a downstream casualty of linguistic deficits alone. Pragmatic competence is a learned skillset that can be directly taught and rehearsed. Role-plays for initiating conversation, clarifying misunderstandings, negotiating topics, and closing interactions equip the child with explicit strategies, while peer-mediated practice diversifies interactional partners and settings. When psycholinguistic and pragmatic strands are braided in a coherent program, children with alalia can expand not only the accuracy of their language but the range and confidence of their communicative participation.

Alalia captures a family of developmental speech-language disorders whose defining feature is not merely delay but a reorganized language system shaped by early neurofunctional constraints. A psycholinguistic analysis clarifies how perceptual fragility, memory bottlenecks, motor planning deficits, lexical-semantic instability, morphosyntactic vulnerability, and prosodic dysregulation combine to produce the characteristic linguistic profile and to restrict dialogic initiative, repair, cohesion, and narrative coherence. These constraints alter the child's communicative ecology, eliciting accommodations that help participation but can narrow learning opportunities if not carefully calibrated. Assessment that samples each processing level and observes real interaction provides the evidential base for targeted intervention. Therapy that simultaneously stabilizes phonological representations, builds articulatory programs, consolidates grammar in motor-manageable units, leverages prosody, strengthens discourse structure, and explicitly teaches pragmatic strategies—while engaging parents and, when needed, AAC—offers the best chance of improving not only linguistic accuracy but also communicative agency. In this integrative approach, the concept of alalia retains practical value as a map linking neural-functional limits to the lived realities of social communication and to actionable pathways for support.

REFERENCES

1. Выготский Л. С. Мышление и речь. — М.: Лабиринт, 1999. — 352 с.
2. Лурия А. Р. Язык и сознание. — М.: Прогресс, 1979. — 320 с.
3. Лалаева Р. И. Нарушения речи у детей: диагностика и коррекция. — СПб.: Речь, 2003. — 288 с.
4. Филичева Т. Б., Чиркина Г. В. Нарушения речи у детей дошкольного возраста. — М.: Просвещение, 1993. — 256 с.
5. Мастюкова Е. М. Ранняя диагностика и коррекция речевых нарушений. — М.: Просвещение, 1992. — 224 с.
6. Левина Р. Е. Нарушение речи у детей и пути их преодоления. — М.: Просвещение, 1968. — 240 с.
7. Bishop D. V. M. Uncommon Understanding: Development and Disorders of Language Comprehension in Children. — Hove: Psychology Press, 1997. — 370 p.
8. Leonard L. B. Children with Specific Language Impairment. 2nd ed. — Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2014. — 576 p.
9. Gathercole S. E., Baddeley A. D. Working Memory and Language. — Hove: Psychology Press, 1993. — 230 p.
10. Hickok G., Poeppel D. The cortical organization of speech processing // Nature Reviews Neuroscience. — 2007. — Vol. 8. — P. 393–402.
11. Bishop D. V. M., Snowling M. J. Developmental dyslexia and specific language impairment: same or different? // Psychological Bulletin. — 2004. — Vol. 130, № 6. — P. 858–886.
12. Levelt W. J. M. Speaking: From Intention to Articulation. — Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1989. — 576 p.
13. Korkman M., Kirk U., Kemp S. NEPSY-II: Clinical and

Interpretive Manual. — San Antonio, TX: Harcourt Assessment, 2007. — 300 p.

14. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5). — Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association, 2013. — 947 p.

15. World Health Organization. International Classification of Diseases 11th Revision (ICD-11). — Geneva: WHO, 2019. — 1200 p.

16. Paul R., Norbury C. F. Language Disorders from Infancy through Adolescence. 4th ed. — St. Louis: Elsevier, 2012. — 728 p.

17. Shriberg L. D., Strand E. A., Jakielski K. J. Toward diagnostic markers for childhood apraxia of speech: A synthesis of quantitative evidence // Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research. — 2017. — Vol. 60, № 10. — P. 2986–3011.

18. Conti-Ramsden G., Botting N. Characteristics of children with specific language impairment // Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research. — 1999. — Vol. 42, № 5. — P. 1275–1286.