The Role of Emotional and Instrumental Support in Reducing Recidivism Among Ex-Prisoners

Dr. Nadine Fischer

Department of Social Work, University of Cape Town, South Africa

Abstract: This study explores the pivotal role of social support networks in shaping the post-prison lives of individuals, focusing on how different types of support—emotional, instrumental, and informational—affect their reintegration into society. Using a mixed-methods approach, the research includes surveys, interviews, and observational data from 150 recently released prisoners across two rehabilitation programs. The findings reveal that strong social support networks significantly reduce recidivism, enhance mental health, and improve family relationships and employment prospects. Emotional support, particularly from family and close friends, was found to be the most influential, while instrumental support (such as housing and job assistance) is crucial but less consistently available. Conversely, individuals with limited support or negative relationships faced greater difficulties reintegrating, often resulting in recidivism. The study highlights the need for comprehensive reintegration programs that not only provide practical resources but also foster healthy social connections. The research provides recommendations for policymakers and rehabilitation programs to strengthen social support structures for former prisoners, thereby improving their post-prison outcomes and reducing the likelihood of reoffending.

Introduction: The transition from prison to society presents significant challenges for individuals who have been incarcerated. For many former prisoners, reentering society can be an overwhelming experience marked by social stigma, limited employment opportunities, and emotional distress. Research has consistently shown that one of the most critical factors in successful reintegration is the presence of social support networks—the relationships and resources that provide emotional, psychological, and practical assistance during the post-prison transition.

Social support networks are often composed of family, friends, community groups, social service organizations, and even online communities. These networks can provide emotional support by offering a sense of belonging and reducing feelings of isolation, and instrumental support by helping with financial resources, housing, employment, or legal matters.

In the context of post-prison life, the importance of social support cannot be overstated. Studies have suggested that individuals who have strong, positive social support networks are less likely to reoffend and more likely to successfully reintegrate into society. However, the quality of social support can vary widely, with some individuals receiving strong, positive support, while others may experience rejection or negative reinforcement, especially if they have limited or broken ties with family and society.

Despite its importance, research on the specific role of social support networks in shaping post-prison life remains underexplored. The current study aims to fill this gap by examining the role of social support in post-prison life, focusing on how different types of support networks influence reintegration outcomes, including employment, family relationships, mental health, and recidivism.

Research Objectives

This study seeks to explore the role of social support

networks in shaping the lives of individuals after their release from prison. Specifically, the study will:

1.   Investigate the different types of social support (emotional, informational, and instrumental) available to ex-prisoners and how these support systems vary across different demographic groups.

2.   Examine how positive and negative support networks impact the mental health, employment opportunities, and family relationships of individuals after prison.

3.   Analyze the relationship between social support and recidivism rates, identifying how social support networks may contribute to reducing the likelihood of reoffending.

4.   Explore the challenges individuals face in establishing and maintaining social support networks post-incarceration.

Significance of the Study

This research is significant in that it explores a key factor that influences the success or failure of post-prison reintegration. By examining how social support networks affect individuals in the post-prison phase, the findings of this study can provide valuable insights for policymakers, social service providers, and organizations focused on prison reform and rehabilitation. The results can help shape effective reentry programs and post-prison support systems aimed at reducing recidivism, enhancing mental health outcomes, and improving the quality of life for ex-prisoners.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach, integrating both qualitative and quantitative research methods. The combination of these methods allows for a comprehensive understanding of the complex role of social support networks in post-prison life.

Participants

The study includes 150 participants, all of whom have been recently released from prison. Participants were selected from a diverse set of individuals, representing different ages, genders, ethnicities, and socio-economic backgrounds, to ensure a representative sample. The participants are recruited from two rehabilitation programs in urban areas, one offering psychological counseling and job training, and another that focuses on family reintegration and community support.

Data Collection Methods

1.   Surveys: Participants are asked to complete a survey that includes both closed and open-ended questions designed to assess their social support networks, experiences of mental health challenges, employment status, and recidivism likelihood. Survey items also include questions about their relationships with family and friends, the quality and frequency of social support they receive, and their perceptions of reintegration challenges.

2.   Interviews: Semi-structured interviews are conducted with a subset of participants to gather deeper qualitative insights. These interviews explore the nature of social support in the participants' lives, focusing on how emotional, informational, and instrumental support has impacted their mental health, family dynamics, and employment prospects. Interviews also explore the difficulties participants face in establishing supportive relationships after incarceration.

3.   Observational Data: Researchers observe the interactions between participants and their social support networks during rehabilitation sessions. These observations allow the study to capture real-time examples of social support exchanges and their immediate impacts on participants' emotional and psychological well-being.

4.   Recidivism Data: Recidivism data is collected from state databases to assess the rates of reoffending among participants over the course of one year following their release. This data is used to examine whether the presence of robust social support networks correlates with lower rates of recidivism.

Data Analysis

1.   Quantitative Analysis: The survey data will be analyzed using statistical methods such as regression analysis to identify relationships between social support and mental health outcomes, employment status, and recidivism rates. Descriptive statistics will summarize the types of social support received and its perceived quality, while correlation and regression analyses will examine how different types of social support predict successful reintegration.

2.   Qualitative Analysis: The interview transcripts will be analyzed using thematic analysis, identifying key themes related to how participants perceive their social support networks. Special attention will be paid to how emotional and instrumental support contribute to participants' well-being and their ability to overcome barriers to reintegration.

3.   Integration of Findings: The findings from both qualitative and quantitative data will be triangulated to provide a comprehensive view of how social support affects post-prison outcomes. The integration will allow for a deeper understanding of the complexities of

support systems in the lives of former prisoners.

RESULTS

Types of Social Support

The study found that participants primarily rely on three types of social support:

1. Emotional Support: This is the most commonly reported type of support. Family members and close friends were identified as the main sources of emotional support, providing comfort and encouragement during the reintegration process. Many participants reported that emotional support was essential for coping with feelings of isolation, shame, and guilt after prison.

2. Instrumental Support: This type of support, including help with housing, job placement, and financial assistance, was less frequently available. Social service organizations and rehabilitation programs were identified as the main sources of instrumental support. The lack of consistent instrumental support emerged as a significant barrier to successful reintegration.

3. Informational Support: Participants reported that social workers, rehabilitation counselors, and community organizations provided valuable information about legal processes, job training, and reintegration programs. However, participants noted that they often lacked access to tailored resources that could address their unique needs, such as mental health support or substance abuse treatment.

Social Support and Recidivism

The study found that individuals with strong social support networks (particularly emotional and instrumental support) were less likely to reoffend within the first year of release. Participants with robust support systems reported higher levels of job stability, better family relationships, and improved mental health, all of which contributed to a lower likelihood of recidivism.

Conversely, participants with limited social support—particularly those lacking emotional support or experiencing negative relationships—were more likely to experience relapses into criminal behavior. These participants often faced significant mental health challenges and economic instability, which contributed to their vulnerability to reoffending.

Challenges in Establishing Support Networks

Many participants faced challenges in establishing supportive relationships after their release. A significant number of participants reported strained family relationships and social stigma that made it difficult to connect with others. Some individuals felt rejected by their families, while others had lost touch with supportive social networks during their time in prison. Additionally, participants who had committed more severe crimes or had lengthy sentences found it particularly difficult to rebuild trust with others.

Impact on Employment and Family Relationships

Participants with strong emotional support networks were more likely to secure stable employment and engage in meaningful family relationships. Emotional support played a critical role in reducing the psychological barriers to employment, such as low self-esteem and anxiety, and it provided the encouragement needed to maintain jobs. In contrast, participants with less emotional support struggled to find long-term employment and often had strained relationships with family members.

DISCUSSION

Key Findings and Implications

This study confirms the critical role of social support in shaping the post-prison lives of former prisoners. Emotional support, particularly from family and close friends, is a significant protective factor against recidivism, promoting mental health and reduced feelings of isolation. Instrumental support, while less frequent, is crucial in overcoming the practical barriers to reintegration, such as housing and employment. The study also highlights the negative impact of social stigma and strained family relationships on reintegration efforts.

The findings underscore the need for rehabilitation programs and post-prison support initiatives to focus not only on employment and legal assistance but also on fostering healthy social networks. By helping former prisoners rebuild relationships with family and friends and providing opportunities for new, supportive connections within the community, these programs can significantly improve post-prison outcomes.

Recommendations for Policy and Practice

1. Strengthen Family Involvement: Programs should actively involve family members in the rehabilitation process to help mend relationships and provide emotional support.

2. Expand Social Support Programs: Social service organizations should offer a broader range of instrumental support services, including job placement, housing, and financial assistance.

3. Address Stigma: Community-based initiatives should work to reduce the social stigma associated with incarceration, helping former prisoners establish meaningful relationships and community ties.

4. Enhance Mental Health Services: Addressing

mental health needs through counseling and therapy is essential to reducing emotional distress that hinders successful reintegration.

CONCLUSION

The study highlights the profound impact that social support networks have on the post-prison lives of individuals. It demonstrates that both emotional and instrumental support can significantly influence mental health, employment opportunities, family relationships, and recidivism. As such, social support is not just a supplemental factor but a critical determinant of successful reintegration. Ensuring that former prisoners have access to robust support networks is essential for reducing recidivism and improving their chances of leading stable, productive lives post-incarceration.

REFERENCES

Ajmal, M., & Arshad, M. (2024). Role of family and other social institutions for restoration, reintegration, and social support of ex-prisoners in Punjab, Pakistan. Remittances Review, 9(1), 1406–1422.

Arabyat, R. M., & Raisch, D. W. (2019). Relationships between social/emotional support and quality of life, depression, and disability in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: An analysis based on propensity score matching. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 53(10), 918–927.

Bellamy, C., Kimmel, J., Costa, M. N., Tsai, J., Nulton, L., Nulton, E., ... & O'Connell, M. (2019). Peer support on the "inside and outside": Building lives and reducing recidivism for people with mental illness returning from jail. Journal of Public Mental Health, 18(3), 188–198.

Berghuis, M. (2018). Reentry programs for adult male offender recidivism and reintegration: A systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology, 62(14), 4655–4676.

Brehmer, C. E., Qin, S., Young, B. C., & Strauser, D. R. (2024). Self-stigma of incarceration and its impact on health and community integration. Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health, 34(1), 79-93.

Boles, W., Tatum, T., Wall, J., Nguyen, L., Van Dall, A., Mulhollem, C., ... & Niyogi, A. (2022). Us helping us: The evolution of a peer support group for formerly incarcerated people. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 13, 920640.

Bowman, S. W., & Travis, R. (2012). Prisoner reentry and recidivism according to the formerly incarcerated and reentry service providers: A verbal behavior approach. The Behavior Analyst Today, 13(1), 9–19.

Brown, K. E. (2024). Investigating key elements of peer support programs focused on recovery and reentry in community-based organizations: A qualitative implementation science study (Doctoral dissertation, The Medical College of Wisconsin).

Butler, L., & Taylor, E. (2022). A second chance: The impact of unsuccessful reentry and the need for reintegration resources in communities. COPS Office. https://cops.usdoj.gov/html/dispatch/04-2022/reintegration_resources.html

Burt, L. R. (2018). African American male ex-offenders' perceptions of a reentry program's impact on recidivating (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).

Byers, T. (2020). The Impact of Caregiver Trauma on FBMHS: The Relationship between Caregiver Adversity and Eco-Systemic Structural Family Therapy Child Client Outcome. Shippensburg University.

Co, J. C., Estel, J. D., Portes, P. J., & Rondina, G. B. (2016). Bachelor of Science in Psychology. University Press.

Christian, J. (2022). The promise and challenge of local initiatives that support reentry and reintegration. The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 701(1), 191-203.

Chouhy, C., Cullen, F. T., & Lee, H. (2020). A social support theory of desistance. Journal of Developmental and Life-Course Criminology, 6, 204-223.

Devia, L. (2024). Transitioning identities: A comparative study of the social transition to civilian life of former armed group members reintegrating into Colombian society (2002-2018) from a social identity perspective (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pittsburgh).

Doleac, J. L. (2018). Strategies to productively reincorporate the formerly-incarcerated into communities: a review of the literature. Available at SSRN 3198112.

Fahmy, C., & Wallace, D. (2019). The influence of familial social support on physical health during reentry. Criminal Justice and Behavior, 46(12), 1738-1756.

Gaines, A. C., Hardy, B., & Schweitzer, J. (2021, September 22). How weak safety net policies exacerbate regional and racial inequality. Center for American Progress. https://www.americanprogress.org/article/weak-safety-net-policies-exacerbate-regional-racial-inequality/

Gilchrist, L., Jamieson, S. K., Zeki, R., Ward, S., Chang, S., & Sullivan, E. (2022). Understanding health and social service accessibility for young people with problematic substance use exiting prison in Australia. Health & Social Care in the Community, 30(6), e4735-e4744.

Goodstein, J. D. (2019). Employers and the reintegration of formerly incarcerated persons. Journal of Management Inquiry, 28(4), 426-430.

Hall, S. (2023). Global lessons learned on sustainable reintegration in rural areas. Food & Agriculture Organization.

Halushka, J. M. (2020). The runaround: Punishment, welfare, and poverty survival after prison. Social Problems, 67(2), 233-250.

Hector, J., Khey, D., Hector, J., & Khey, D. (2018). Release and Reentry. Criminal Justice and Mental Health: An Overview for Students, 163-187.

Hinck, A. R., Hinck, S. S., Smith, J. D., & Withers, D. S. (2019). Friends as a social support network for prisoners reentering society. Journal of Communication, 69, 33-48.

Hyde, J., Byrne, T., Petrakis, B. A., Yakovchenko, V., Kim, B., Fincke, G., ... & McInnes, D. K. (2022). Enhancing community integration after incarceration: Findings from a prospective study of an intensive peer support intervention for veterans with an historical comparison group. Health & Justice, 10(1), 33.

Humanitarian Legal Assistance Foundation. (2018). Life after jail: A forum on reintegrating former persons deprived of liberty back to the community. HLAF. http://hlaf.org.ph/life-after-jail-a-forum-on-reintegrating-former-persons-deprived-of-liberty-back-to-the-community/

Jolly, P. M., Kong, D. T., & Kim, K. Y. (2021). Social support at work: An integrative review. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 42(2), 229-251.

Keene, D. E., Rosenberg, A., Schlesinger, P., Guo, M., & Blankenship, K. M. (2018). Navigating limited and uncertain access to subsidized housing after prison. Housing Policy Debate, 28(2), 199-214.

Kiczkowski, U. H. (2011). Successful community reentry after incarceration: exploring intangible aspects of social support during the reintegration process. Columbia Social Work Review, 9(1), 73-85.