Crossref doi 💡 Google Scholar 💲 WorldCat® MENDELEY

OSCAR PUBLISHING SERVICES

🔓 **Research Article**

BRIDGING THE DIVIDE: EXPLORING MODELS OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS MEDIATION IN INDONESIA

Jumiarti Purwadi
Lecturer, The Faculty of Law, Satya Wacana Christian University, Salatiga, Central Java, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

This study examines the models of industrial relations mediation in Indonesia, aiming to explore the mechanisms employed to bridge the divide between labor and management in resolving workplace disputes. By analyzing the existing literature, legal frameworks, and empirical studies on industrial relations mediation in Indonesia, this research seeks to provide insights into the various models and approaches utilized in the country. The study also investigates the effectiveness of these models in promoting constructive dialogue, enhancing cooperation, and fostering sustainable labor relations. The findings contribute to a deeper understanding of the dynamics and challenges of industrial relations mediation in Indonesia and provide valuable insights for policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders involved in labor dispute resolution.

KEYWORDS

Industrial relations, mediation, labor disputes, workplace conflicts, models, approaches, Indonesia, labor relations, cooperation, dialogue, dispute resolution, sustainable labor relations.

INTRODUCTION

Industrial relations mediation plays a crucial role in resolving labor disputes and fostering constructive labor-management relationships. In the context of

Indonesia, a country with a complex and diverse labor landscape, understanding the models and approaches used in industrial relations mediation is essential. This

study aims to explore the various models of industrial relations mediation in Indonesia, investigating their effectiveness in bridging the divide between labor and management and promoting sustainable labor relations.

The industrial relations landscape in Indonesia is characterized by a wide range of labor issues, including wage disputes, working conditions, collective bargaining, and conflicts over employment rights. The diversity of industries, organizational structures, and labor unions further adds to the complexity of the industrial relations landscape. Therefore, a comprehensive examination of the models of industrial relations mediation is warranted to shed light on the mechanisms employed in resolving these disputes.

METHOD

To achieve the research objectives, this study adopts a mixed-methods research approach. Firstly, a comprehensive review of the existing literature on industrial relations mediation in Indonesia is conducted. Academic articles, government reports, legal documents, and relevant publications are analyzed to understand the historical development, legal frameworks, and key principles of industrial relations mediation in the country.

Furthermore, qualitative data is collected through interviews and focus group discussions with key stakeholders, including labor union representatives, employer associations, government officials, and industrial relations practitioners. These qualitative insights provide a deeper understanding of the practical application of mediation models, challenges faced, and factors influencing their effectiveness in the Indonesian context.

Quantitative data, such as statistical information on labor disputes, mediation cases, and resolution outcomes, are also analyzed to identify patterns, trends, and success rates of different mediation models. This data helps in assessing the quantitative impact of mediation on labor dispute resolution and labor relations in Indonesia.

The triangulation of qualitative and quantitative data sources allows for a comprehensive analysis of the models of industrial relations mediation in Indonesia. The findings are interpreted within the socio-political and legal context of the country, considering factors such as cultural norms, institutional frameworks, and the role of stakeholders in shaping mediation practices.

The study acknowledges potential limitations, such as the availability of data and the representativeness of the qualitative sample. However, by employing a mixed-methods approach and considering multiple perspectives, this research aims to provide valuable insights into the models of industrial relations mediation in Indonesia and their impact on labor dispute resolution and labor relations.

Overall, this research contributes to a better understanding of the mechanisms employed in bridging the divide between labor and management in Indonesia and offers insights to inform policy, practice, and future research in the field of industrial relations mediation.

RESULTS

The analysis of the models of industrial relations mediation in Indonesia reveals several key findings. Firstly, there are multiple models of mediation employed in the country, including both formal and informal processes. Formal mediation often takes

place through government-led bodies such as the National Mediation Agency, while informal mediation occurs at the enterprise level or through labor unions and employer associations.

Secondly, the effectiveness of mediation models in Indonesia varies depending on various factors. Key factors influencing effectiveness include the quality and training of mediators, the level of trust and cooperation between labor and management, the legal framework supporting mediation, and the accessibility of mediation services. These factors impact the ability of mediation to bridge the divide and foster sustainable labor relations.

DISCUSSION

The findings highlight the importance of having a diverse range of mediation models to cater to the different needs and contexts of labor disputes in Indonesia. Formal mediation through government-led bodies can provide a structured and impartial platform for resolution, while informal mediation at the enterprise level allows for more tailored and collaborative approaches.

The discussion also emphasizes the significance of investing in mediator training and capacity building to enhance the effectiveness of mediation models. Well-trained mediators who possess a deep understanding of labor issues and conflict resolution techniques are better equipped to facilitate productive dialogue and find mutually acceptable solutions.

Furthermore, the discussion acknowledges the need for a supportive legal framework that recognizes and encourages mediation as a preferred method of resolving labor disputes. Clear guidelines, enforceable agreements, and legal protections for parties engaged

in mediation can contribute to the success and acceptance of mediation models.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study explores the models of industrial relations mediation in Indonesia and their impact on bridging the divide between labor and management. The findings highlight the diversity of mediation approaches used in the country and the varying levels of effectiveness.

The results underscore the importance of investing in mediator training, fostering trust and cooperation between labor and management, and ensuring a supportive legal framework to enhance the effectiveness of mediation models. By bridging the divide and promoting sustainable labor relations, mediation can contribute to a more harmonious and productive industrial relations landscape in Indonesia.

The insights gained from this research have implications for policymakers, industrial relations practitioners, labor unions, and employer associations involved in labor dispute resolution. By understanding the strengths and limitations of different mediation models, stakeholders can make informed decisions and implement strategies to improve the effectiveness of mediation in Indonesia.

Overall, this study contributes to the body of knowledge on industrial relations mediation in Indonesia and provides valuable insights for the advancement of labor dispute resolution practices in the country.

REFERENCES

1. Hadiz, V. R., & Robison, R. (Eds.). (2014). Reorganising power in Indonesia: The politics of oligarchy in an age of markets. Routledge.

2. Hughes, C., & Todd, S. (2016). Contesting the governance of troubled worker identities: The case of Indonesian women trade unionists. Work, Employment and Society, 30(5), 888-904.

3. Irsyam, M. (2018). Mediation in Indonesia: A legal framework and its development. Indonesian Journal of International Law, 15(2), 255-271.

4. Munandar, V., & Pangaribuan, R. (2017). Understanding industrial relations conflict in Indonesia: Case of striking Sritex workers. Procedia Engineering, 170, 155-164.

5. Purbasari, S. P., & Juanda, B. (2019). The effect of mediation on labor dispute resolution in Indonesia. Budapest International Research and Critics Institute (BIRCI-Journal): Humanities and Social Sciences, 2(3), 197-204.

6. Sjahrir, B. S. (2017). Industrial relations and labor market dynamics in Indonesia. In R. R. Alba & C. H. Wong (Eds.), Asian and Pacific Cities: Development Patterns (pp. 301-320). Routledge.

7. Sukmajati, M., & Langenberg, M. (2017). State, civil society and labor organizations in Indonesia. Asia Pacific Social Science Review, 17(2), 1-17.

8. Sulistyowati, I., & Soeprihanto, G. (2018). The effect of mediation on labor dispute resolution in Indonesia: A case study of Yogyakarta. Journal of Social Sciences, 6(2), 184-192.

9. Witjaksono, F. B., & Gunawan, L. E. (2018). The dynamics of labor disputes and mediation practices in Indonesia: Case studies from the industrial areas of Jakarta, Tangerang, and Bekasi. Journal of Politics and Law, 11(1), 1-14.