

The Harmony Between Personal Spirituality And Moral Identity: A Philosophical Analysis

Maqsudjonov Kamronjon Maxsudjonovich

Head of the Department for Youth Affairs and Spiritual-Educational Work Qarshi International University, Uzbekistan

Received: 25 June 2025; **Accepted:** 19 July 2025; **Published:** 31 August 2025

Abstract: This article presents a philosophical analysis of the interrelation between a person's spiritual identity and their inner moral consciousness. Based on the concepts of al-Farabi, Fromm, Frankl, and Erkeyev, it explores issues of personal authenticity, socially simulated morality, the value system, and ethical selfhood. The study employs axiological, phenomenological, and socio-philosophical methods to identify key determinants of spiritual maturity.

Keywords: Personal spirituality, moral identity, authenticity, ethical values, philosophical approach, Erkeyev, al-Farabi, Fromm, Frankl.

Introduction: Among the principles of modern social development, the stability of an individual's spirituality and its social function within society are becoming increasingly relevant. The moral values established in a person's inner world, the sense of social responsibility, aesthetic ideals, and intellectual awareness—all of these determine the individual not only as a unique personality but also as a social entity. It is precisely this spiritual dimension of the individual that is closely linked with the level of self-awareness, the ability to act consciously, and the capacity to make decisions based on moral principles.

Spiritual appearance, in turn, represents the manifestation of an individual's internal spiritual condition in the external social environment. This does not always fully correspond to one's spirituality. The reason is that a person may sometimes be compelled to adapt their spiritual principles to the requirements of society or, conversely, conceal various forms of psychological dissonance behind social masks.

In today's context of globalization, technological modernization, and an information-saturated environment, direct and indirect threats to human spirituality are intensifying. Consequently, the individual is engaged in a constant inner struggle to preserve their spiritual foundation. In this struggle, one's spiritual appearance serves as a central criterion by which society evaluates the individual. For this

reason, the ontological–boundary and axiological–systemic analysis of these two concepts has particular significance within the framework of contemporary socio-philosophical thought.

The scientific problem of this study lies in the fact that the concepts of personal spirituality and spiritual appearance are often used synonymously, or their functional distinctions are insufficiently explained. In reality, their socio-philosophical essence, mechanisms of formation, and role within society are unique. This article approaches the issue precisely from that perspective, aiming to reveal the essence of the concept of personal spirituality, the factors shaping it, and its interrelation with spiritual appearance, drawing upon philosophical, axiological, and psychological approaches.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The concepts of personal spirituality and spiritual appearance have been analyzed from various theoretical perspectives in contemporary philosophical and socio-humanitarian literature. Below, we review the ways in which different scholars have conceptualized these notions.

In *The Virtuous City*, al-Farabi identifies spirituality as the foundation of human perfection. According to him, achieving true happiness requires not only intellectual but also moral education, through which a person

becomes ethically mature. A virtuous person is thus not only knowledgeable but also capable of evaluating their actions according to spiritual criteria [5, pp. 85–92].

One of the Uzbek scholars who has deeply examined the notions of “spiritual immunity,” “the spiritual condition of the individual,” and “inner resilience” is Abdirahim Erkayev. He interprets personal spirituality as the embodiment of moral standards, social justice, and historical memory within individual consciousness [2, pp. 55–59]. According to Erkayev, spiritual appearance represents the manifestation of inner strength through conscious choices.

In *To Have or to Be?*, Erich Fromm analyzes personal spirituality as the mode of “being” in human existence. In his view, society pressures individuals to prioritize “having,” which restricts their spiritual potential and distorts their authentic appearance [3].

In his speeches on spiritual policy, the President of Uzbekistan has repeatedly emphasized the role of personal spirituality in national development. For instance, in his 2020 address, he stated: “Spirituality means that every individual, by realizing themselves, lives in such a way that benefits society, remaining loyal to our people and our homeland.” [1, p. 42]

In *Man’s Search for Meaning*, Viktor Frankl explains spiritual appearance as the ability of an individual to preserve their identity even under despair and pressure. This idea is rooted in his theory of “existential capacity” [4].

This study is therefore devoted to an in-depth socio-philosophical analysis of the relationship between personal spirituality and spiritual appearance, and it is conducted on the basis of the following methodological approaches:

METHODOLOGY

The axiological approach was employed to determine

the place of personal spirituality within the system of social values. Since personal spirituality represents a set of values that defines an individual’s life trajectory, this approach provided an opportunity to evaluate their social significance. As Erkayev emphasizes, the individual’s internal value criterion is the fundamental factor stabilizing spirituality [2, pp. 55–59].

The phenomenological approach was applied to analyze how personal spirituality is experienced within the individual consciousness. Through this method, the discrepancies between a person’s inner world and external spiritual appearance—especially the contradictions in processes of self-awareness and self-expression—were critically examined. The perspectives of Fromm and Frankl contributed significantly to deepening this approach [3, pp. 112–118; 4, pp. 105–113].

This method also involved a conceptual analysis of the views of al-Farabi, Erkayev, Fromm, Frankl, and other thinkers concerning spirituality, as well as a comparative examination to identify the boundaries of these concepts. As a result, it was revealed that some authors interpret the notion of spiritual appearance as the external form of personal spirituality, while others treat the two concepts as interchangeable synonyms.

Both deductive reasoning (moving from philosophical ideas to real social contexts) and inductive reasoning (deriving general theoretical conclusions from concrete cases) were employed in the research. For instance, contemporary phenomena observed among youth—such as moral distress, masked ethicality, or the paradox of “appearance and reality” in social networks—served as an inductive basis for analyzing the divergence between spirituality and appearance.

Based on the philosophical-analytical approaches applied, the interrelation between personal spirituality and spiritual appearance was uncovered through the following theoretical conclusions:

Key Components of Personal Spirituality

Component	Description
Moral worldview	Ethical values such as honesty, justice, conscience, and responsibility.
Aesthetic sensibility	The perception of beauty, harmony, and inner refinement.
Spiritual beliefs	Religious-philosophical or secular convictions reflecting existential needs.
Social responsibility	A sense of duty toward others and society at large.

The analyses demonstrated that spiritual appearance represents the external manifestation of an individual’s inner spiritual world. It is expressed through:

- Conduct and attitudes toward others (culture of communication)
- Style of speech and thinking (aesthetic and moral manner)
- Value orientation expressed through actions and choices
- Participation in public life and ethical involvement

Personal spiritual appearance is directly linked to the individual’s inner spiritual condition; however, in some cases, it may also emerge as a social mask. In Fromm’s terms, this reflects a shift from the mode of “being” to that of “having” [3, pp. 112–118].

Practical observations indicate that, in many cases, the external spiritual appearance of individuals does not fully correspond to their genuine inner spirituality. This discrepancy may be explained by the following factors:

- Social pressure: Societal standards compel individuals to “appear moral.”
- Personal interests: Individuals may instrumentalize appearance to gain resources or status.
- Information manipulation: Social media often creates an artificial “appearance” that conceals the true spiritual world.

This phenomenon may be described as “spiritual dissonance”—the rupture between one’s internal spiritual state and external expression.

Drawing on the perspectives of al-Farabi, Erkayev, and Frankl, it becomes evident that personal spirituality plays a decisive role in the stable and conscious

formation of social identity.

This leads to the following conclusion:

- Individuals with a stable internal spirituality tend to be more responsible, constructive, and ethically consistent in social life.
- An identity lacking spirituality, however, results in moral relativism, self-interest, and social passivity.

The study further shows that personal spirituality, beyond being an individual phenomenon, also possesses transformative power in society, serving as:

- A generator of cultural change (emergence of new values)
- A foundation for moral leadership
- A guarantor of socio-political stability

These aspects occupy a significant place in Uzbekistan’s current state policy. For instance, the activities of the Center for Spirituality and Enlightenment are aimed at strengthening personal spirituality as a means of stabilizing society [1, p. 42].

Analysis. The findings of the research indicate that personal spirituality and spiritual appearance are directly interrelated yet represent independent philosophical categories that do not fully encompass one another. Below, the mechanisms of their interrelation and their forms of expression within society are analyzed.

Personal spirituality refers to the inner spiritual world of an individual, grounded in moral, aesthetic, religious, and social values. It is a subjective structure, often not directly identifiable from the outside. Spiritual appearance, however, represents the way this inner world is reflected in society—that is, its socially and communicatively visible dimension.

Distinguishing Features

Basis	Personal Spirituality	Spiritual Appearance
Ontological nature	Internal state	External manifestation
Mode of perception	Subjective (inner)	Objective (recognized by others)
Stability	Stable	Changeable (dependent on social context)
Causes of change	Upbringing, experience, inner consciousness	Social demands, social roles, masks
Source of formation	Morality, religion, philosophy, family	Societal expectations, external influences

With the intensification of social networks, mass communication, and visual culture, appearance (impression) has gained dominance over essence in modern society. People increasingly prioritize not their authentic inner state but how they present it.

Fromm's models of "having" versus "being" explain precisely this contradiction: when inner spiritual essence is suppressed and formal, superficial ethical displays dominate, the authenticity of the person is lost [3, pp. 112–118].

As both Erkeyev and al-Farabi emphasize, personal spirituality is not innate but is formed in the socio-educational environment as a robust moral criterion [5, pp. 85–87; 2, pp. 55–59]. Such a criterion naturally stabilizes spiritual appearance. However, when education emphasizes only external moral norms, individuals develop a tendency to adapt to "appearance," which intensifies the desire not "to be," but "to appear as."

Key areas in cultivating spirituality include effective education, the development of aesthetic taste, and orientation toward social responsibility. A strong personal spirituality ensures:

- The stability of moral standards;
- The strengthening of social trust;
- The preservation of universal values such as ethics, justice, and honesty.

Al-Farabi argued that a virtuous society is built by virtuous individuals; in other words, inner moral integrity spreads from the individual to society as a whole [5, pp. 85–87].

Recommendations Based on Findings

- Educational institutions: Should move beyond rote learning of values and adopt teaching methods grounded in moral dilemmas and ethical reasoning.
- Mass media and social networks: Should increase content that emphasizes the inner dimensions of personal spirituality.
- Philosophical approaches: Spirituality must be analyzed not only from a religious perspective but also from social, existential, and aesthetical–axiological perspectives.
- Personal self-reflection: Every individual should critically assess their own spiritual appearance, distinguishing between their inner spiritual state and social masks.

The results of this research demonstrate that, although personal spirituality and spiritual appearance are interrelated, they differ clearly in their ontological

essence, mechanisms of formation, and functional role in society. Personal spirituality constitutes a stable system that shapes the individual's inner moral, aesthetic, and axiological world, whereas spiritual appearance is the outward, socially visible, and often changeable reflection of this system.

CONCLUSION

The study has led to the following conclusions:

- Personal spirituality is a complex inner system that encompasses moral outlooks, aesthetic sensibilities, religious/philosophical beliefs, and social responsibility.
- Spiritual appearance is the outward expression of personal spirituality, shaped by social context, mass communication, and the individual's intention of how they wish to be perceived.
- At times, spiritual appearance does not correspond to inner spirituality, which may result in social masking, dissonance, and hypocrisy.
- Based on the views of al-Farabi, Erkeyev, Frankl, and Fromm, it was identified that the stability of personal spirituality constitutes a necessary foundation for the ethical, cultural, and civilizational stability of society.

The key factors essential for developing personal spirituality include:

- Philosophical and aesthetic education;
- Conscious selection of values;
- A social environment with alternative moral models;
- A philosophy of life grounded in authentic inner selfhood, free from social masks.

As argued in this article, personal spirituality is not only an internal state but also a form of social consciousness actively functioning within society. Therefore, its study and reinforcement represent an urgent task in the context of today's moral crisis.

REFERENCES

- Mirziyoyev, Sh. M. *The Strategy of New Uzbekistan*. Tashkent: Uzbekistan, 2021, 416 p.
- Erkeyev, A. *Foundations of Spirituality*. Tashkent: Ma'naviyat, 2004, 192 p.
- Fromm, E. *To Have or to Be?* New York: Harper & Row, 1976, 210 p.
- Frankl, V. E. *Man's Search for Meaning*. Boston: Beacon Press, 2006, 165 p.
- al-Farabi, A. N. *The Virtuous City*. Tashkent: G'afur G'ulom Publishing, 1993, 184 p.