

Determining and analyzing the level indicators of digital competencies in future educators

Maxliyo KHOLMATOVA

Doctoral Student, Department of Pedagogy and Psychology, Denov Institute of Entrepreneurship and Pedagogy, Uzbekistan

Received: 20 January 2025; Accepted: 18 February 2025; Published: 17 March 2025

Abstract: This article focuses on identifying students' existing digital competencies. A survey was conducted as the research method. The obtained results were analyzed to examine students' digital skills, their application in professional activities, and their effectiveness. The analysis results are aimed at developing practical recommendations for enhancing students' digital competencies.

Keywords: Future educators, digital competencies, level indicators, survey.

Introduction: In today's world, the rapid development of digital technologies and their widespread application in various fields, including education, necessitate the advancement of educators' digital competencies. Digital technologies not only enhance the effectiveness of the educational process but also elevate the interaction between teachers and students to a new level.

The significance of digital technologies in scientific and technological progress was emphasized in the Address of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Shavkat Mirziyoyev, to the Oliy Majlis on January 24, 2020. Furthermore, the Presidential Decree No. PF-5847, issued on October 8, 2019, "On Approval of the Concept for the Development of the Higher Education System of the Republic of Uzbekistan Until 2030, outlines a series of tasks aimed at integrating digital technologies and modern methods into the educational process.

METHODOLOGY

In Uzbekistan, the development of an informationeducational environment that enhances the professional activities of future educators necessitates the creation of recommendations aimed at improving students' ability to effectively utilize modern technologies.

Before addressing this issue, it is important to briefly define the concept of "digital competence." Digital competence refers to the ability to use digital tools and the internet effectively and safely. It encompasses educators' ability to utilize technology and information resources, including skills such as using multimedia tools, ensuring information security, and evaluating and selecting digital resources.

Assessing the level indicators of digital competencies in future educators plays a crucial role in improving the quality of the education system and enhancing pedagogical activities. By evaluating students' existing digital competencies, it becomes possible to determine their ability to apply digital technologies in their professional practice. The data obtained through surveys serve as a foundation for assessing educators' digital competencies and developing effective measures to enhance them.

Literature Review

The development of digital competencies in students' professional activities, the integration of information and communication technologies into the education system to enhance learning quality, the implementation and effective use of modern teaching technologies, as well as the formation of students' professional communicative competence, have been explored in the research works of Uzbek scholars such as U. Sh. Begimqulov, Z. K. Ismoilova, O. X. To'raqulov, S. N. Allayorova, D. N. Mamatov, G. Sh. Mamutova, and others.

Several foreign researchers, including H. Dowd, L. Harvey, G. Siemens, M. Prensky, and J. Bergmann, have conducted studies on the application of modern technologies in professional training.

American Journal Of Philological Sciences (ISSN – 2771-2273)

One of the key international frameworks in this field is DigCompEdu (Digital Competence Framework for Educators), developed by the European Commission. This framework is designed to assess and enhance students' digital competencies while supporting educators in effectively integrating digital technologies

into the teaching process.

Researcher M. I. Kholmatova has studied international best practices, scientific research, and national standards to develop six key criteria for assessing the level indicators of educators' digital competencies.

EFFICIENCY INDICATORS

High Efficiency

1. "Digital Literacy"

Has the ability to use digital tools (Internet and computer technologies).

2. "Information Management''

Has skills in searching, finding, processing, and managing information.

3. "Communication and Collaboration"

Has the ability to establish communication, share information, and collaborate using digital tools.

4. "Creating Digital Content"

Has the skills to create, edit, and share content and programs using digital tools.

Moderate Efficiency

"Digital Literacy" 1. Can partially use digital tools (Internet and computer technologies).

2. "Information Management" Has partial skills in

searching, finding, processing, and managing information.

3. "Communication and **Collaboration**"

Can partially participate in communication, information sharing, and collaboration using digital tools.

4. "Creating Digital Content"

Can partially perform content and program creation, editing, and sharing using digital tools.

No Efficiency Shown

"Digital Literacy" 1.

Does not have an understanding of how to use digital tools (Internet and computer technologies).

2. "Information Management''

Has no clear understanding of searching, finding, processing, and managing information.

3. "Communication and **Collaboration''**

Does not have knowledge about communication, information sharing, and collaboration using digital tools.

4. "Creating Digital Content''

Has not developed skills in creating, editing, and sharing content using digital tools.

5. "Security" Has full knowledge of personal data protection in the digital

information security and security and personal data protection in the digital environment.

5. "**Security**" Has partial **5.** "**Security**" Does not have knowledge of information knowledge about information security and personal data protection in the digital environment.

environment.

6. "Problem-Solving"

Can solve pedagogical problems, find innovative solutions, and apply them in practice using digital tools. **6. "Problem-Solving"** Can partially solve problems, find innovative solutions, and apply them in practice using digital tools. 6. "Problem-Solving" Does not have knowledge of solving problems, finding innovative solutions, and applying them in practice using digital tools.

Figure 1. Efficiency Indicators for Assessing the Quality of Digital Competencies

in Future Educators

Analysis and Results

institutions.

A total of 572 students enrolled in pedagogy programs at the following universities participated in the survey:

Figure 2. Experimental Sites and the Number of Respondents in the Control-Experimental

Group



The survey questions were based on the six indicators mentioned above.

Within the scope of the research, a survey was

conducted to determine the level of digital competencies among students in higher education

The questions were designed to comprehensively assess students' existing digital competencies, including their skills in using information technology, experience with online learning platforms, knowledge of digital content creation and security, and their ability to integrate digital tools into their pedagogical activities.

The survey results among students based on their level of education were as follows:

- 1st year: 249 students (43.5%)
- 2nd year: 143 students (25%)
- 3rd year: 127 students (22.2%)
- 4th year: 53 students (9.3%)

Responses to the question regarding students' access

to the Internet were as follows:

- Very good: 18%
- Good: 37.5%
- Average: 36.5%
- Insufficient: 8%

Responses to the question aimed at identifying difficulties in finding information on the Internet were as follows:

- I do not experience any difficulties: 64.5%
- Inability to conduct searches correctly: 11.4%
- Inability to understand search results: 7.3%
- Lack of sufficient search skills: 16.8%

The responses to the question aimed at identifying scientific resources and databases used in education were as follows:

American Journal Of Philological Sciences (ISSN – 2771-2273)

The responses to the question aimed at determining

how and for what purposes scientific databases are

research

Keeping up with new developments – 42.8%

The responses to the question assessing the accuracy

of searching for information in scientific databases

(dissertations,

Searching for articles – 42.8%

for

Citing sources – 2.4%

Searching

were as follows:

572 ответа

monographs, patents) - 17%

572 ответа

follows:

•



Using filters - 1.2%

- Entering the full topic 69.1%
- Searching by authors 4.4%

used, as well as their significance in education, were as The responses to the question aimed at identifying students' skills in correctly organizing and storing downloaded information were as follows:

- Categorizing by topic 6.5%
- Organizing by date 19.9%
- Prioritizing by importance 20.3%
- Saving as separate files 53.3% .

The results of the question aimed at determining which digital communication tools are most convenient and frequently used by students were as follows:



The responses to the question aimed at determining students' knowledge about information-sharing

platforms and which platforms they use were as follows:



The responses to the question aimed at identifying which platforms, software, or applications students use to create content for effectively and interactively

Using keywords – 25.3%

American Journal Of Philological Sciences (ISSN - 2771-2273)

completing homework and independent learning tasks were as follows:





The results of the question aimed at determining students' knowledge of content types and their creation were as follows:

- Video and audio materials 22.2%
- Slides 48.3%

Text-based articles – 21.5%

• Graphics and images – 8%

572 ответа

The responses to the question "Which platforms do you prefer for distributing content?" were as follows:

Instagram		-240 (42 %)	
Facebook	-32 (5,6 %)		
Shaxsiy bloglarimda	-106 (18,5 %)		
YouTube	-87 (15,2 %)		
Telegram			-524 (91,6 %
	0 200	40	600

The responses to the question about which tools are

572 ответа



The survey results regarding digital security measures and students' preferences for digital tools in education are as follows:

Measures taken to ensure information security and protect personal data in the digital environment:

- Creating backup copies: 54.4%
- Storing on a hard disk: 5.1%
- Using cloud storage: 22.6%
- Not paying attention to this: 18%

Security issues encountered:

- Hacker attacks: 4.9%
- Spam or phishing emails: 33.7%
- Identity theft: 1.2%

used for editing created content were as follows:



• Have not faced such issues: 60.1%

Preferred digital tools for organizing lessons:

- Multimedia resources: 38.3%
- Interactive lessons: 21.9%
- Distance learning: 6.3%
- Innovative methods: 32.9%
- Other responses included: "all methods," "books," "Google"

Understanding of digital competence concept:

• The ability to use digital technologies effectively: 28.8%

• The ability to use digital technologies and the internet purposefully: 22.6%

American Journal Of Philological Sciences (ISSN – 2771-2273)

• The ability to use digital technologies and internet resources safely and effectively: 40.9%

• The ability to improve knowledge through online courses, seminars, and training: 7.7%

The analysis of survey results and responses to openended questions revealed several issues in developing students' digital competencies. These include:

• Low interest among students in learning and effectively using modern technologies.

• Limited time and opportunities for students to use digital tools.

• Lack of support and motivation for students to effectively utilize digital tools.

• Absence of specialized seminars, courses, or training to develop students' digital competencies, along with inadequate institutional support.

• Insufficient skills among professors and teachers in effectively integrating modern technologies into the educational process.

• Underdeveloped infrastructure for the effective use of information and communication technologies (ICT) in higher education institutions.

• Inadequate material and technical resources, with a shortage of necessary technological tools in all classrooms.

CONCLUSION

The importance of an effective and scientifically grounded survey for assessing future teachers' digital competencies has been examined. The survey was proposed as a key tool for identifying students' existing digital competencies, considering the role of digital tools and technologies in pedagogical activities and their value in the education system. Additionally, the article highlights the significance of formulating for appropriate questions assessing digital competencies while taking into account the characteristics of pedagogical activities and students' needs.

The analysis of the survey questions shows that it allows for a comprehensive assessment of future teachers' digital competencies. It provides clear and complete insights into their understanding and skills related to digital tools.

Based on this data, students' existing digital competencies were identified, and their challenges and difficulties were analyzed.

REFERENCES

Address of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Shavkat Mirziyoyev, to the Oliy Majlis on January 24, 2020. Presidential Decree No. PF-5847 of the Republic of Uzbekistan dated October 8, 2019, "On Approval of the Concept for the Development of the Higher Education System of the Republic of Uzbekistan until 2030." [Online]. Available: https://lex.uz/ru/docs/4545884

Begimqulov U.Sh. (2007). Theoretical and Practical Organization and Management of the Informatization of Pedagogical Education Processes. Doctoral Dissertation Abstract. Tashkent, p. 37.

Ismoilova Z.K. (2000). Formation of Students' Professional and Pedagogical Skills. Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences Dissertation Abstract. Tashkent, p. 18.

To'raqulov O.X. (2017). Improving the Scientific and Methodological Support for Training Junior Specialists in an Information-Oriented Educational Environment (Based on Vocational Colleges Specialized in Information and Computer Technologies). Doctoral Dissertation Abstract. Tashkent, p. 64.

Allayarova, S. N. (2020). The Role of Information and Communication Technologies in Improving the Quality and Effectiveness of Higher Education. Modern Education (Uzbekistan), (4 (89)), 10-19.

Mamatov D.N. (2017). Pedagogical Design of Professional Education Processes in an Electronic Information Learning Environment. Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) Dissertation in Pedagogical Sciences. Tashkent, p. 186.

Mamutova Gulnaz Shamshetdinovna (2022). Developing Future Teachers' Information and Communication Competencies through Digital Technologies. Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) Dissertation Abstract in Pedagogical Sciences. Tashkent, p. 45.

Dowd, H., & Green, P. (2018). Evaluating Technology Use in the Classroom. EdTech Books, pp. 112-130.

Harvey L. (1997). External Quality Monitoring in the Marketplace. Tertiary Education and Management, 3(1), 25-35.

Siemens, G., Gasevic, D., & Dawson, S. (2015). Preparing for the Digital University: A Review of the History and Current State of Distance, Blended, and Online Learning. 10(2), 45-63.

Prensky, M. (2001). Digital Natives, Digital Immigrants. On the Horizon, 9(5), 1-6.

Bergmann, J., & Sams, A. (2012). The Flipped Classroom: A Survey of the Research. 21st Century Learning Conference Proceedings, pp. 33-40.

European Commission, Joint Research Centre. (2020). Digital Competence Framework for Educators. [Online]. Available: https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/digcompedu

Xolmatova, M. (2024). Assessing the Quality Indicators

American Journal Of Philological Sciences (ISSN - 2771-2273)

of Digital Competences in Future Educators. Modern Educational System and Innovative Teaching Solutions, 1(4), 745-753.